Trade-offs between regionally equitable and cost-efficient allocations of renewable electricity generation

Jan-Philipp Sasse, Evelina Trutnevyte Renewable Energy Systems Group, University of Geneva

16th IAEE European Conference 2019 | Ljubljana, 28 August 2019



RENEWABLE ENERGY SYSTEMS



UNIGE

RES

Swiss Energy Strategy requires drastic increase in renewable electricity until 2035





Renewable electricity (without hydro)

Source: Swiss Federal Office of Energy (SFOE) 2018; Swissolar market analysis 2012-2017; PSI – Paul Scherrer Institut (2017)



What are the regional distributional impacts of allocating decentralized renewable electricity generators (DREG)?

Spatial allocation of DREG



Based on: Grunewald (2017); Drechsler et. al. (2017); Langer et. al. (2016); Tsoutsos et. al. (2005); Knoblauch et. al. (2018); Fell et. al. (2019); Budischak et. al. (2013); Fuchs et. al. (2017); Wüstenhagen et. al. (2007); Klagge et. al. (2012); Dobbins et. al. (2019)

RENEWABLE ENERGY SYSTEMS



UNIG



Model references: Trutnevyte, E. (2013); Berntsen, P. B., et. al. (2017); Trutnevyte, E. (2012)



Measuring regional equity: Gini index



Reference: Drechsler et. al. (2017); Gini (1912)



UNIGE

Results: Regional equity and cost trade-offs









Results: Share of decentralized renewables in electricity mix



Results: Spatial distribution of installed capacity







*Cost = Electricity generation cost

Results: Spatial distribution of investments



Cost-optimal scenario (Investments = CHF 11.5 bn, Ø CHF 605m/year)



Current trends scenario (Investments = CHF 13 bn, Ø CHF 684m/year)





*Investments = Capital expenditures (CAPEX)



Max. regional equity (by population) (Investments = CHF 31.1 bn, Ø CHF 1'636m/year)



Conclusions



Key findings:

- Significant cost-equity trade-off in Switzerland:
 - +50% regional equity -> +18% total electricity generation cost
- Current trend is neither on cost-optimal or regionally equitable path
 - Observed trend risks fortifying regional disparities that are not cost-optimal
- Increasing share of solar PV with increasing regional equity:
 - Possible key technology for equitable and cost-efficient energy transition
- Focus on cost-optimality leads to spatial concentration of investments:
 - Spatial concentration of renewables to few locations (such as canton Vaud)

Implications for Energy Strategy 2050:

- Spatial allocation of renewables has significant impact on costs and regional equity
- Reallocation of renewables is difficult, therefore it is important to start thinking about spatial allocation impacts in advance





Applied Energy 254 (2019) 113724



Distributional trade-offs between regionally equitable and cost-efficient allocation of renewable electricity generation



Jan-Philipp Sasse^{a,b,*}, Evelina Trutnevyte^a

^a Renewable Energy Systems, Institute for Environmental Sciences (ISE), Section of Earth and Environmental Sciences, University of Geneva, Switzerland ^b Institute for Environmental Decisions (IED), Department of Environmental Systems Science, ETH Zurich, Switzerland



Future work: European model to assess equitable low-carbon transitions for various equity indicators and "effort-sharing" approaches



- **Models:** PyEXPANSE + PyPSA
- Area:
 - EU-28 + Switzerland (CH)
 - + Norway (N)
 - 1'369 regions (NUTS 3)
- Technologies:
 - Conventional electricity generation
 - Renewable electricity generation
 - Transmission
 - Storage
- Data: Aim for open-source data



Study regions

Overview of methodology



Thank you!

Jan-Philipp Sasse

Renewable Energy Systems, University of Geneva

Email: jan-philipp.sasse@unige.ch Website: www.unige.ch/res



Eidgenössische Technische Hochschule Zürich Swiss Federal Institute of Technology Zurich



This study was part of a SNSF Ambizione Energy project (Grant No.160563)



Services Industriels de Genève



UNIGE

RES

References

Bauer, C. et. al. (2017). Potentials, costs and environmental assessment of electricity generation technologies. Switzerland, Villingen: Paul Scherrer Institut.

Berntsen, P. B., & Trutnevyte, E. (2017). Ensuring diversity of national energy scenarios: Bottom-up energy system model with Modeling to Generate Alternatives. Energy, 126, 886–898.

Budischak, C., Sewell, D., Thomson, H., Mach, L., Veron, D. E., & Kempton, W. (2013). Cost-minimized combinations of wind power, solar power and electrochemical storage, powering the grid up to 99.9% of the time. *Journal of Power Sources*, **225**, 60–74.

Dobbins, A., Fuso Nerini, F., Deane, P., & Pye, S. (2019). Strengthening the EU response to energy poverty. Nature Energy 4, 2–5.

Drechsler, M., Egerer, J., Lange, M., Masurowski, F., Meyerhoff, J., & Oehlmann, M. (2017). Efficient and equitable spatial allocation of renewable power plants at the country scale. *Nature Energy*, **2**(9).

Fell, M., Pye, S., Hamilton, I. (2019). Capturing the distributional impacts of long-term low-carbon transitions. Environmental Innovation and Societal Transitions, In Press.

Fuchs, A., Demiray, T., Evangelos, P., Ramachandran, K., Kober, T., Bauer, C., Schenler, W., Burgherr, P., Hirschberg, S. (2017). *ISCHESS – Integration of stochastic renewables in the Swiss electricity supply system*. Switzerland, Villingen: Paul Scherrer Institut.

Gini, C. (1912). Variabilità e mutabilità. (ed. Cuppini, P.). Rome: Libreria Eredi Virgilio Veschi.

Grunewald, P. (2017). Renewable deployment: Model for a fairer distribution. Nature Energy 2, 17130

Klagge, B., & Brocke, T. (2012). Decentralized electricity generation from renewable sources as a chance for local economic development: A qualitative study of two pioneer regions in Germany. *Energy, Sustainability and Society*, **2**(1), 1–9.

Knoblauch, T., Trutnevyte, E. (2018). Siting enhanced geothermal systems (EGS): Heat benefits versus induced seismicity risks from an investor and societal perspective, *Energy* **164**, 1311-1325.

Langer, K., Decker, T., Roosen, J. & Menrad, K. (2016). A qualitative analysis to understand the acceptance of wind energy in Bavaria. Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev. 64, 248–259.

Trutnevyte, E., Stauffacher, M., Schlegel, M., & Scholz, R. W. (2012). Context-Specific Energy Strategies: Coupling Energy System Visions with Feasible Implementation Scenarios. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **46**(17), 9240–9248.

Swiss Federal Council. (2016). Energiegesetz [EnG], Art. 2 (Report No. AS 2017 6839). Retrieved on May 16, 2019 from https://www.admin.ch/opc/de/official-compilation/2017/6839.pdf Swisssolar (2012-2017). Facts and figures. Retrieved on May 16, 2019 from https://www.swissolar.ch/en/about-solar/facts-and-figures/

Trutnevyte, E. (2013). EXPANSE methodology for evaluating the economic potential of renewable energy from an energy mix perspective. Applied Energy, 111, 593–601.

Tsoutsos, T., Frantzeskaki, N. & Gekas, V. (2005). Environmental impacts from the solar energy technologies. Energy Policy 33, 289–296.

Wüstenhagen, R., Wolsingk, M. & Bürer, M. J. (2007). Social acceptance of renewable energy innovation: an introduction to the concept. Energy Policy 35, 2683–2691.



14

Regional equity (by demand) and cost trade-offs







Electricity Demand Model

RES

Approach

- NOGA # of employees as a proxy for el. demand from 19 Industry & Commerce sectors
- Number of inhabitants as proxy for demand from Households and Transport





Electricity generated by decentralised renewables







EXPANSE power system model with Modeling-to-generate-alternatives method (MGA)





Approach:

- Define technically feasible domain X^{TF} (with demand and supply potentials)
- Define **cost-effective domain X^{CE}** by ٠ adding a varying cost constraint
- Modeling-to-generate-alternatives ٠ method: Sample large defined number of solutions within the **technically feasible**, cost-effective domain X^{CE-TF}

E. Trutnevyte (2013), EXPANSE methodology for evaluating the economic potential of renewable energy from an energy mix perspective, Applied Energy, ISSN: 0306-2619, Vol: 111, Page: 593-601

Estimation of Solar Rooftop PV potentials and cost

RES

Solar Power Database (Existing & Remaining Potential)

- Existing solar panels (capacity, el. gen. and location (Source: KEV List))
- Performance parameters (Costs, el. efficiency (source: PSI report Bauer et. al.)
- Remaining potential: BFE "Sonnendach" study (60%) + modelling (40%) (Source: <u>3D Building Data</u>, <u>BFE study</u>)





Hydro Power Database (Dams, RoR and Small Hydro)

- Type, capacity, annual production and location (Source: BFE)
- Costs, electrical efficiency and technical constraints (source: PSI report Bauer et. al.)



Hydro Plants in Construction

Installed Hydro Power Capacity





20

UNIGE

Nuclear Power Database

- Capacity, annual production and location (Source: <u>BFE</u>)
- Costs, electrical efficiency and technical constraints (source: PSI report Bauer et. al.)
- Assumption: no Nuclear power after 2034 (Grants will not be extended)
- Therefore: Modelled available capacity is ZERO for year 2035



Expected Decommissioning

- Beznau I & II: 2030 (Source: NZZ)
- Mühleberg: 2019 (Source: <u>BKW</u>)
- Gösgen: 2029 (not confirmed yet)
- Leibstadt: 2034 (not confirmed yet)







Wind Power Database

- Type, capacity, annual electricity production and location (Source: BFE)
- Costs, electrical efficiency and technical constraints (source: PSI report Bauer et. al.)





RES

Wind Power Database

• Modelling of Turbine Performance and LCOE with Wind Data (BFE, MeteoSchweiz)





Enhanced Geothermal Power Database

- Status: Currently no operational EGS power plants in Switzerland
- Potential areas for EGS construction from reports (Geoenergie Suisse)
- LCOE, electrical efficiency and technical constraints (source: PSI report Bauer et. al.)



Approach to select EGS sites

- Areas with Crystalline Layer @5000m depth
- Areas with industrial zones (easy building rights)
- Exclude areas with high seismic risk
- Assume 5MW plants. 30GWh yearly production
- Cost model based on TA Swiss Base cost model
- Two LCOE cost levels which includes or excludes heat credits from heat sold through district heating grid
- District heating data obtained from GWR data

RENEWABLE ENERGY SYSTEMS



24

UNIGE

RES

Gas Power Database

Costs, electrical efficiency and technical constraints (source: <u>PSI report</u> Bauer et. al.)



Approach to select potential Gas power plant sites

- Reconstruct gas grid from two data sources:
 - Register of buildings and dwellings (identify buildings with gas heaters)
 - Verband der Schweizerischen Gasindustrie (VSG) list of postal codes with available gas grid

Existing and Planned power plants (Source: <u>VSE report</u>)

	MW		GWh	
Total (Existing)		132		608
Total (Planned)		1'652		9'528
Total (Potential 2035)		3'000		20'000
Total (Potential 2035)		5'250		35'000





Wood CHP Database

- Capacity, annual electricity production and location (Source: KEV List)
- Costs, electrical efficiency and technical constraints (source: PSI report Bauer et. al.)
- Modelling Approach: Limit resources to 30km radius around each site







Biogas Database

- Capacity, annual electricity production and location (Source: <u>KEV List</u>)
- Costs, electrical efficiency and technical constraints (source: PSI report Bauer et. al.)



Sustainable Biogas Potential

RENEWABLE ENERGY SYSTEMS



Potential no. of 25kW power plants

Waste Incineration Plant Database

- Type, capacity, annual electricity production and location (Source: VBSA)
- Costs, electrical efficiency and technical constraints (source: <u>PSI report</u> Bauer et. al.)



Existing Waste Incineration Plants

Assumptions (For years up to 2035)

- No new Waste Incineration plants will be built
- Current Waste incineration plants will have maximum added electricity production of 197GWh
- This is mainly due to efficiency gains from more efficient steam generation
- Based on current supply of 1065, this equals max. 18% increase in power production

RENEWABLE ENERGY SYSTEMS



UNIG