

Optimizing Congestion Management by Integrating Redispatch into the Day-ahead Market

16th IAEE European Conference August 28, 2019

Ksenia Poplavskaya, Gerhard Totschnig, Fabian Leimgruber, Laurens de Vries, Gerard Doorman



PREMISE

Current challenges:

- Highly meshed European network
- Growing shares of variables renewables
- Growing costs of redispatch
- Intrazonal congestion can limit cross-border exchange, leading to zonal splitting and decreasing economic welfare

Current approach to redispatch is suboptimal:

- Does not attempt to find an optimal solution to a congestion
- Only a few large generators usually redispatched
- TSO (and consumers) incur additional costs for post-market measures

A copper plate assumption does not adequately represent the actual grid.



Redispatch costs: e.g. ~€1bn in 2018 by German TSOs alone



Growing electricity prices & grid tariffs for consumers



SOLUTION?

Optimize the use of redispatch by integrating it into the DA market and potentially:

- reduce redispatch costs,
- improve the availability of interconnector capacity for cross-border exchange by allowing IRD generators to free up the needed capacity on congested lines and increase cross-border trade.
- Increase overall economic surplus.





FLOW-BASED MARKET COUPLING (FBMC)





MODEL OVERVIEW

- Linear multi-step optimization models for three market types, nodal market, zonal market with FBMC and the novel zonal approach with integrated redispatch respecting FBMC principles as implemented in the CWE
- Models tested an verified on two- and three-zone networks
- Outputs: flow-based parameters and the distribution of costs and rents for all the stakeholders (consumers, suppliers, TSO).



NODAL SETUP where d_g – dispatch of generator Objective function: cg – marginal cost of Nodal market generator $\min \sum \boldsymbol{d}_g \ast \boldsymbol{c}_g$ fb – flow on a branch p_n – nodal power injection FRM- flow reliability margins OUTPUT subject to nodal energy balance, capacity limits of generators, 1. Optimal dispatch and flow limits: respecting all grid constraints $-(F_b - FRM_b^{nod}) \leq f_b \leq (F_b - FRM_b^{nod})$, $\forall b$ Nodal prices 2. 3. In case of a congestion: $\boldsymbol{f}_{b} = \sum PTDF_{b,n}^{nod} * \boldsymbol{p}_{n}$ per-branch congestion rent for the TSO







ZONAL IRD SETUP



MAIN FEATURES

- A set of dispatchable generators is used for integrated redispatch (IRD) in the event of a congestion
- IRD action is "co-optimized" with the DA market
- IRD units participate in the DA market
- Nodal PTDFs are used for IRD generators and included in the flow calculation
- Zonal PTDFs and GSKs are used for the rest of the generators
- The dispatch of more expensive IRD units does not affect DA market price
- Some residual redispatch might still be needed to fully alleviate a congestion



ZONAL IRD SETUP



the expected outcome of the DA market for the time of delivery as forecasted two days ahead (D2CF) Adjusted formulation from the nodal setup was used.

Objective function either:

- 1) Minimizes total system costs
 - (incl. IRD based on its volume or costs) or
- 2) Maximizes export ("at all costs")

subject to zonal energy balance, capacity constraints, <u>nodal PTDFs for IRD units</u> and zonal PTDFs for the rest

 \rightarrow The first objective function (with cost minimization) was chosen. Results presented in the next slides

Same formulation as for the ex-post redispatch in Businessas-usual setup:

$$\min \sum_{g}^{G^{RD}} \gamma \left(c_{g}^{DA} * rdPF * \Delta \boldsymbol{d}_{g}^{pos} - \frac{c_{g}^{DA}}{rdPF} * \Delta \boldsymbol{d}_{g}^{neg} \right) + \lambda * price_{z(g)}^{FBMC} * (\Delta \boldsymbol{d}_{g}^{pos} + \Delta \boldsymbol{d}_{g}^{neg})$$



EXAMPLE: 6-NODE NETWORK

Zone A Α Line limits: 120 MW on 120 MW @ 30 each branch, except for 3 branch 0: 30 MW interconnectors 20 MW Equal line reactances 0 в 60 MW @ 35 3 6 5 5 7 Installed capacity **Zone A**: 180 MW Δ Total load **Zone A:** 20 MW 120 MW @ 60 Install capacity **Zone B**: 120 MW Zone B 100 MW Total load Zone B: 100 MW



RESULTS – **BUSINESS-AS-USUAL, FBMC**



67,3 MW



RESULTS – BUSINESS-AS-USUAL, EX-POST REDISPATCH





RESULTS – ZONAL WITH INTEGRATED REDISPATCH, IRD



28.08.2019



MULTIPLE TEST SCENARIOS CONFIRMED:

- IRD approach helps increase the available transmission capacity between zones (in the example: 67MW vs. 100MW) by preventing a congestion and zonal price convergence thanks to a more efficient dispatch.
- Consideration of IRD generator in FBMC process helps to increase price convergence (in the example: 30€/MWh in Zone A & 60€/MWh in Zone B vs. 30€/MWh in Zone A & 34€/MWh in Zone B in zonal with IRD).
- Optimized congestion management helps reduce the burden on the consumers.
- In most scenarios, *ex post* measures unnecessary, reducing system and transaction costs.

→ Compared to a fully nodal market, IRD approach can be a good realistic alternative to the current approach.



BENEFITS

THANK YOU!

Ksenia Poplavskaya Research Engineer in electricity markets and regulation Ksenia.Poplavskaya@ait.ac.at

/ / / / / /

/////