

Dynamic relationship between cds premia volatility and oil shocks: do oil shocks matter

Presented by: Bah Ibrahima Phd student at Montpellier department of economics Ljubjana 25-28.

Outline

Part 1: Motivation.

Part 2: Objectives and Related Litterature.

Part 3: Methodology.

Chapitre 4: Results.

Chapitre 5: Discussions and policy recommendation.

Motivations



Source: CEPR

Motivations



Source : Dauvin,2014

Objectives and Questions

- The oil slump of 2014 had contributed to question the solvency of oil rich countries with the defaulting case of Venezuela .
- Is the appearance of extreme events on oil market have any effect on the incertitude surrounding the solvency of oil rich countries ?
- Is there a difference among countries in the perception of the credit risk that resource-rich country face in the debt market?
- Does the Uncertainty about their solvency affect the price of oil?
- Do oil shocks have the same importance from one country to another on the solvency (volatility of spreads) of oil exporting countries?

Hypothesis

- <u>Hypothesis</u> 1: Oil shocks have different effects on sovereign credit default swaps spreads of oil rich countries.
- <u>Hypothesis</u> 2: The incertitude around the solvency of oil rich countries has an effect on oil prices and contribute to adress the question of solvency of oil rich countries.
- <u>Hypothesis 3</u>: The slump of 2014 and the european debt crisis had affected the relationship between oil shocks and sovereign cds spreads volatility of oil rich countries.

Related litterature

- Hilscher and Nosbusch (2010) find that the terms of exchange and its volatility affect the sovereign risk.
- Longstaff and Al (2011) study the effects of global factors on sovereign credit risk but do not include the role of natural resources.
- Hooper (2014) examines the effect oil reserves of sovereign spreads in a few couple of oil rich countries at a monthly basis.
- Hooper and Chuffart (2019) study the nonlinear effect of oil prices on Venezuela and Russia sovereign cds spreads by using a markow-switching model.
- Syed and Al (2017) study the directional predictability between the oil volatility index and the oil sovereign cds spreads.
- Bourie and Al (2018) study the dependence between the oil price quantiles and the spreads of the sovereign cds of the oil-exporting countries.
- None of these studies tried to go beyond the price or the reserves of oil in order to catch the role of oil markets fundamentals.

Methodology

- Cubic spline interpolation (to solve the mismatching between daily frequence of sovereign cds spreads and the monthly frequence of data related to the oil market).
- Testing symmetric and asymmetric conditional volatility modelling on the sample.
- Structural Vector Autoregressive modelling (SVAR).

Data.

- The 5 years maturity spreads of the credit defaults swaps (cds) of our 6 countries of our sample have been extracted from bloomberg.
- The supply of oil have been extracted from the site of the international energy agency (iea).
- The demand of oil have been proxied by the index of Lutz kilian that can been extracted from his website.
- The stocks of the oil market are the ratio between the total stocks of OCDE countries reported to the stocks of the United states. This variable will represent the demand for precautionary or speculative purpose.
- -The deflated price of oil is obtained by dividing the price extracted from the site of international energy agency by the index of inflation.
- Our sample encompasses three of the main oil rich countries in the world (Saudi Arabia, Venezuela, Russia), and three among the small one (Norway high diversified economy) and Qatar and Kazakhstan.

Conditional Volatility modelling

* Garch(p,q) of Bollerslev (1996)

:

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{y}_t &= \mathbf{c} + \mathbf{u}_t \qquad u_{it} = \sigma_{i,t} \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{it} \qquad \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{it} \rightarrow \text{Loi elliptique} \,; \\ \sigma_t^2 &= V(y_t | F_{t-1}) = \alpha_0 + \sum_{k=1}^q \alpha_k a_{t-k}^2 + \sum_{h=1}^p \beta_h \sigma_{t-h}^2 \\ \sum_{i=1}^q \alpha_i + \sum_{i=1}^p \beta_h \leq 1 \qquad \qquad \alpha_0 \geq 0, \alpha_k \geq 0, \beta_h \geq 0 \end{split}$$

Selection criteria of the best fitted model being given by the Akaike criteria Aic=2k-2lnL.

Conditional volatility modelling

• The GJR-GARCH(p,q) of Jagannatan and Runkle (1993).

$$\sigma_{t}^{2} = \alpha_{i,0} + \alpha_{i,1}a_{t-1}^{2} + \gamma_{i}I_{i,t-1}a_{i,t-1}^{2} + \beta\sigma_{t-1}^{2}$$

• The EGARCH(p,q) of Nelson (1991).

$$\begin{split} \ln(\sigma_{i,t}^2) &= \alpha_{i,0} + \sum_{i=1}^{q} \alpha_i \left(\varphi z_{t-i} + \gamma [|z_{t-i}| - E|z_{t-i}|] \right) + \sum_{j=1}^{p} \beta_j \ln \sigma_{t-j}^2 \\ z_{t-i} &= \frac{\varepsilon_{t-i}}{\sigma_{t-i}} \end{split}$$

• The FIGARCH(p,d, q) model of Baillie and Al (1996).

$$\sigma_t^2 = \alpha_0 + \left[1 - \left(1 - \beta(L)\right)^{-1} \left(1 - \phi(L)\right)(1 - L)^d\right] a_t^2 + \beta \sigma_{t-1}^2$$

Structural VAR



 $AY_t = A_1^* Y_{t-1} + A_2^* Y_{t-2} + \cdots \dots A_p^* Y_{t-p} + u_t$

 $Y_t = A^{-1}A_1^*Y_{t-1} + A^{-1}A_2^*Y_{t-2} + \cdots \dots A^{-1}A_p^*Y_{t-p} + A^{-1}u_t$

Structural VAR





Structural VAR



Results of best fitted conditional volatility modelling.

		Avril 202	Panel 0-Mars2017		Canel Avril 2010-Mai 2014			Cenel Juin 2014-Mars 2017	
	Normal	Studen	: God	Normal	Student	ಳಿಕ್ಸ್.	Normal	Student	Gert
<u>Gorch(1,1)</u>									
9 04	-7.05	-7.08	-7.07	-7.40	-7.44	-7.44	-6.427	- 6.48	-8.49
Arabic	-	-9.97	-5.44	-7.64	-10.99	-5.52	-	-5.52	-4.473
Venesuela	-4.26	-5.50	-5.56	-4.27	-5.39	-5.45	-5.005	-5.176	-5.252
Secsee	-5.45	-0.65	-	-5.45	-5.96	-	-5.590	-8.37	-8.990
Russie	-5.56	-5.72	-5.82	-5.46	-5.62	-5.55	-5.70	-5.78	-5.78
Qatar	-6.24	-7.62	-8.78	-8.25	-7.62	-	-8.28	-7.59	-8.64
Kasakhatan	-5.98	-6.55	-4.36	-5.58	-5.76	-	-6.62	-7.47	-4.898
EG9rch(1,1)									
Q Ù.	-7.05	-7.05	-7.07	-7.40	-7.44	-7.44	-6.472	-6.42	-6.92
Arabia	-8.25	-9.34	-20.05	-8.97	-20.55	-22.47	-5.97	-8.24	-8.78
Venesuela	-4.25	-5.52	-5.57	-4.25	-5.42	-5.46	-5.00 -5.28	-5.23	\smile
Secses	-5.45	-0.70	-7.66	-5.49	-6.11	-	-5.56	-5.275	-9.045
Russie	-5.57	-5.75	-5.83	-5.48	-5.72	-5.92	-5.75	-5.80	-5.82
Qatar	-6.24	-7.35	-8.85	-6.24	-7.56	-8.50	-6.20	-7.50	
Kasakhatan	-5.89	-6.39	-	-5.604	-5.76	-	-6.62	-7.47	-4.82
<u>Gir-Sarch(1,1)</u>									
₩.	-7.05	-7.07	-7.08	-7.42	-7.44	-7.45	-6.45	-6.48	-8.50
Arabic	-6.57	-9.97	-4.05	-7.72	-20.98	-5.48	-5.96	-5.52	-2.67
Venesuela	-4.97	-5.22	-5.56	-4.97	-5.55	-5.45	-5.02	-5.28	-5.25
Secses	-5.45	-6.65	-7.47	-5.45	-5.97	-6.47	-5.58	-5.57	-9.25
Russie	-5.57	-5.75	3.82	-5.479	-5.708	-5.88	-5.72	-5.78	-5.79
Qatar	-5.25	-7.22	5.22	-5.25	-7.50	-	-5.29	-7.59	-8.68
Kasakhatan	-5.99	-6.35	4.07	-	-5.76	-	-8.65	-7.46	-4.63
ElGarch(1,1)									
oil	-7.02	-7.08	-7.07	-7.42	-7.45	-7.44	-6.42	-6.47	-8.42
-Scalarie	-0.00	2.27	-5.55	-7.44	-20.98	-5.47	-5.86	-5.50	-5.72
Venezuela	-4.95 -	5.29	-5.36	-4.26	-5.55	-5.44	-4.99	-5.27	-5.22
Secses	-5.45 -4	5.64	-	-5.45	-5.97	-6.47	-5.40	-8.35	-9.283
Russie	-3.56 -3	5.72	5.80	-5.46	-3.65	-5.87	-5.696	-5.77	-5.785
Qalar	-6.20 -7		-	-6.22	-7.62	-8.09	-6.149	-7.58	-8.403
Kasakhalan	-5.55 -	6.33	-4.75	-5.58	-3.76	6.04	-0.018	-7.45	-4.39

Analysis of the impulse response function of cds spreads volatility of Norway

to the different shocks before and after the oil slump of 2014.



Analysis of the impulse response function of cds premia volatility of Norway to the different shocks before and after the oil slump of 2014.



Analysis of the forecasted error variance decomposition (fevd) during the European debt crisis, before and after the 2014 oil slump.



Analysis of the impulse response function of cds spreads volatility of Saudi Arabia, Venezuela and Kazakhstan on oil prices



Analysis of the impulse response function of cds premia volatility of Saudi arabia, Venezuela and Kazakhstan on oil prices



Conclusion and policy implication.

Conclusion

The sensitivity of cds spreads volatility to the oil prices shocks are different across countries.

The Big oil rich countries like Venezuela are sensitive to demand and speculative shocks .

- The sensitivity of cds spreads volatility have been exacerbated after the oil slump. The demand, the supply or speculative shocks have an effect that have not been necessary statistically significant. In the case of Norway only the residual shocks related to geopolitical matters in the oil market became statistically significant after the slump with the shock on volatility of cds spreads.
- The speculative shocks and demand shocks became statistically significant after the slump of 2014 in the case the Venezuela.

The contribution of the different shocks to cds spreads volatility are different according to the market into stress.

- During a period of stress on the debt market (European debt crisis) the speculative component of the oil shocks contribute the most to the variance of the cds spreads volatility followed by the supply component for all the countries. Without stress on debt and oil market the supply side shocks contribute the most to the variance of cds spreads volatility for all countries of our sample.
- And finally shock on the volatility of cds spreads volatility have statistically significant effect on the prices of oil. The effect is short living and differs across countries.

Policy implication

- Policymakers and risk managers must integrate the evolution of the fundamental factors of the oil market in the assessment of the cost of sovereign debt because the different sources of price variations are likely to increase the uncertainty about the solvency of the latter and therefore induce a high cost of borrowing. Because in most case the process of price discovery in the debt market goes from sovereign cds spreads to sovereign bond (Coudert and Gex ,2010). So the more volatile are sovereign cds, the more unstable will be the price of sovereign bonds.
- The countries concerned should also consider loans or repayments out of periods of stress in both the debt and oil markets.
- For importers, regular monitoring of the creditworthiness of exporting countries (the major exporters) should now be considered as a factor in raising the price of oil. In fact the need of revenues to repay debt or the interest can probably affect the supply of the oil in the market and so the prices. Due to the shale oil revolution in the USA and Canada, traditional exporters have no more market power than they used to be. So the only way for them to make more revenues is to push or cut-down the supply of oil making the prices more unstable.